

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/795,958 03/08/2004		03/08/2004	Gary Marshik	BSI-035	8611	
51414	7590	07/06/2006		EXAMINER		
GOODWI			COULTER, ANDREA			
PATENT A EXCHANG			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON,	MA 0210	09-2881	3634			
				DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/795,95	8	MARSHIK ET AL	MARSHIK ET AL.			
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Andrea L.	Coulter	3634				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appe	ears on the	cover sheet with th	ne correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Isions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period wi y will, by statute, o	TE OF TH 6(a). In no eve ill apply and wil cause the appli	IS COMMUNICAT nt, however, may a reply b expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cation to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this of DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>08 Ma</i>	arch 2004.						
,	•	2b)⊠ This	-	on-final.					
,—	<i>,</i> —								
-,-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
•	•								
8)🖾	Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restrict	ion and/or el	lection req	uirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner	·						
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are			objected to by th	ne Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any obje								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			_		FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	-	-	= : :	-				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-0481		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date				al Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/795,958

Art Unit: 3634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14 and 20-27, drawn to the combination of a window sash, pivot bar, tilt latch, classified in class 49, subclass 185.
- II. Claims 15-19, drawn to the method of manufacturing a window sash, classified in class 49, subclass 506.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

The inventions of the sash and the method of manufacturing the sash are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the sash claimed could be made by another process, such as forming the first and second apertures simultaneously.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/795,958 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Andrea L. Coulter at telephone number (571) 272-1679.

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner